

## CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: 4/26/16	NEED RESPONSE BY: 5/3/16
2. REQUESTOR NAME: Marian Acosta	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Santa Barbara County	
3. PHONE NO.: (805) 287-3858	7. SUBJECT: Probation Parole Violators	
4. REGULATION CITE(S):	8. REFERENCES: <i>(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)</i> <b>NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).</b>  ACL 15-82 UPDATED RESPONSE	

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

A worker receives information from the SIU that states the individual is in violation of parole. The date on the report is 4/12. The worker sets a calendar control to check back with the SIU on 5/12 (30 days). The worker checks back with the SIU on 5/12 and confirms that they continue to actively seek the individual and that the client still has an active warrant, but an arrest of the individual has not been made.

Will the worker discontinue the individual from CalFresh 5/31 or allow the client to remain on the CalFresh case?  
 After the 30-day hold period, should workers be following up to find out if the individual has been arrested or if the individual has an active warrant?

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

Per ACL 15-82, if the law enforcement agency has not taken any action within 30 days, the CWD shall not consider the individual a probation or parole violator, shall document the case file accordingly, and take no further action. Therefore, the worker would leave the client on CalFresh since action to arrest the individual has not been taken.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

The state concurs with the proposed response.

June 14, 2016: Updated response: if the law enforcement agency has made attempts at contact with individual, then they are "actively seeking" them and the CalFresh would be denied...as long as there is documentation that demonstrates the attempts. In addition, if the CWD contacts the law enforcement agency and they do not respond within the 30 days OR no attempt at an arrest/contact has been made, then the CalFresh would continue.

### FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED: April 26, 2016	DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: June 14, 2016 JN
----------------------------------	---