

XVII. Certifications (Lobbying)

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

* APPLICANT'S ORGANIZATION California Department of Social Services		
* PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE		
Prefix: Mr.	* First Name: Gregory	Middle Name: E.
* Last Name: Rose	Suffix:	
* Title: Deputy Director		
* SIGNATURE: 	* DATE: 	

CERTIFICATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO SMOKE

Public Law 103227, Part C Environmental Tobacco Smoke, also known as the Pro Children Act of 1994, requires that smoking not be permitted in any portion of any indoor routinely owned or leased or contracted for by an entity and used routinely or regularly for provision of health, day care, education, or library services to children under the age of 18, if the services are funded by Federal programs either directly or through State or local governments, by Federal grant, contract, loan, or loan guarantee. The law does not apply to children's services provided in private residences, facilities funded solely by Medicare or Medicaid funds, and portions of facilities used for inpatient drug or alcohol treatment. Failure to comply with the provisions of the law may result in the imposition of a civil monetary penalty of up to \$1000 per day and/or the imposition of an administrative compliance order on the responsible entity by signing and submitting this application the applicant/grantee certifies that it will comply with the requirements of the Act.

The applicant/grantee further agrees that it will require the language of this certification be included in any subawards which contain provisions for the children's services and that all subgrantees shall certify accordingly.



Signature and Date
GREGORY E. ROSE

Printed Name
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Title
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Organization

XVIII. Attachments

Acronym List

ADM: All Dads Matter

BOS: Board of Supervisors

CAPTA: Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act

CAPIT: Child Abuse, Prevention, Intervention and Treatment

CAPM: Child Abuse Prevention Month

CBCAP: Community Based Child Abuse Prevention

C-CFSR: California Child and Family Services Review

CQI: Continuous Quality Improvement

CSA: County Self-Assessment

CSOAB: Children's Services Outcomes and Accountability Bureau

CWS: Child Welfare System

MIPF: Model Interagency Protocol Framework

L4C: Leaders for Change

PA: Parents Anonymous

PSP: Parent Services Project

PYDP: Positive Youth Development Project

RDF: Rainy Day Fun

SAB: Survivors Advisory Board

SB: Senate Bill

SCTF: State Children's Trust Fund

SIP: System Improvement Plan

SPLT: State Parent Leadership Team

SSB: Safe Surrender Baby

TA: Technical Assistance

Attachment 1: Strategies Technical Assistance Projects

Region 1

Project Name	Type of Project	Number of Organizations	Location
Peer Review Project	Group technical assistance	4	Shasta County, Butte County
Support to Parent Services Project	Individual technical assistance	1	Marin County
California Network of Family Strengthening Networks (CNFSN) Steering Committee	Group technical assistance	14	California statewide organization
Placer County First 5	Individual technical assistance	1	Placer County
Tehama County First 5 Commission	Group technical assistance	1	Tehama County
Tehama County Early Intervention Partnership	Group technical assistance	9	Tehama County
Omni Youth Programs, Inc.	Capacity-building grant technical assistance	1	Sacramento County
Children's Network of Solano County	Capacity-building grant technical assistance	6	Solano County
Sutter County Children and Families Commission	Capacity-building grant technical assistance	12	Sutter County
Plumas Rural Services (CAPC)	Capacity-building grant technical assistance	1	Plumas County
Amador-Calaveras Maternal Wellness Coalition	Group technical assistance	20	Amador County, Calaveras County

CASA of Mendocino and Lake Counties	Group technical assistance	2	Mendocino County, Lake County
Community Partnership for Families of San Joaquin	Group technical assistance	1	San Joaquin County
San Joaquin County Youth Network	Group technical assistance	25	San Joaquin County
The Yolo Center for Families and Empower Yolo	Group technical assistance	2	Yolo County
Tehama County First 5	Group technical assistance	19	Tehama County
Kings County Maternal Wellness Summit	Group technical assistance	See Region 2 report	Kings County
CSU Chico	Group technical assistance	1	Butte County
Mendocino County FRC Network	Group technical assistance	10	Mendocino County
El Dorado County CASA	Group technical assistance	1	El Dorado County

Region 2:

Project Name	Type of Project	Location
Community MAPPING Project- 5 Protective Factors	General Technical Assistance	Stanislaus County
Development of Train-the-Trainer Project	General Technical Assistance	Stanislaus County
County Regional Environmental Scan Project	General Technical Assistance	San Joaquin County
Network Development- Operationally Defining Goals Project	General Technical Assistance	San Joaquin County

San Joaquin Network Lessons Learned Project	General Technical Assistance	San Joaquin County
Kings County Maternal Wellness Summit	General Technical Assistance	Kings County
Designing an Under One Roof Concept Project	General Technical Assistance	Ventura County
Santa Paula Community Cafe Project	General Technical Assistance	Ventura County
Shared Measurement System Project- San Luis Obispo Child Abuse Prevention Council-	Capacity Building Grant	San Luis Obispo County
Early Childhood Mental Health Project- Child Abuse Listening Mediation	Capacity Building Grant	Santa Barbara County
Strengthening Families Network, First 5 Santa Clara Project	Capacity Building Grant	Monterey County
Teen Parent Project-The Nan Tolbert Nurturing Center	Capacity Building Grant	Ventura County
The Partnership for Safe Families (Child Abuse Prevention Council)	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Ventura County
Ventura County Domestic Violence Task Force	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Ventura County
Essentials of Childhood	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Sacramento County
The Partnership for Safe Families (Child Abuse Prevention Council)	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Ventura County
County of San Diego, Child Support Services Department	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	San Diego County

County of San Luis Obispo School Nurse Collaborative	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	San Luis Obispo County
Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA)	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Ventura County
Ventura County PACT Collaborative	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Ventura County
California State University Channel Islands, Community Health Nursing Programs	Coaching/Facilitating Sessions	Ventura County

Region 3:

Project Name	Topic	Type of Project	Location
Faith-based Family Strengthening Network Project	Implementing the Protective Factors in the faith based organizations	Direct Technical Assistance	Los Angeles County
San Diego Community Dialogues	Conversation cafes throughout SD County with CBOs to hear what was working, what wasn't and what was needed	Direct Technical Assistance	San Diego County
We Thrive	Evidence based practice for parents of teens	Direct Technical Assistance	Orange County
Tree of Hope	Capacity building and FRC development	Direct Technical Assistance	Los Angeles County
San Diego County Office of Education Project	Cultural proficiency in the workplace	Direct Technical Assistance	San Diego County

Dreams for Change Project	Innovative approach to supporting homeless families	Direct Technical Assistance	San Diego County
San Diego Family Strengthening Network	Capacity building	Direct Technical Assistance	San Diego County
Friendly Center's Differential Response Program	Case management	Direct Technical Assistance	Orange County
San Diego County Parent Summit and Resource Fair	Knowledge of parenting, social connections and concrete support	Direct Technical Assistance	San Diego County
Bear Valley Community Healthcare District (BVCHD): Mom and Dad Project	Father involvement	Direct Technical Assistance (Capacity Building Grantee)	San Bernardino County
Family Support Network Orange County: Mothers Education and Support Group	Parenting skills, social connections and support	Direct Technical Assistance (Capacity Building Grantee)	Orange County
Grandma's House of Hope: Developmental Play Area Project	Parenting skills and understanding a child's developmental stages	Direct Technical Assistance (Capacity Building Grantee)	Orange County
Social Advocates for Youth (SAY) San Diego: Family Strengthening Standards	Implementing the Family Strengthening Standards	Direct Technical Assistance (Capacity Building Grantee)	San Diego County

Maternal Wellness Conference	Trauma	Informal Technical Assistance & Coaching	Los Angeles County
Family Support Network's Camp TLC	Camp for disabled children and their families	Informal Technical Assistance & Coaching	Orange County
Orange County Families & Communities Together Conference	Child Abuse Prevention	Informal Technical Assistance & Coaching	Orange County
San Diego County CWS Fairness & Equity Committee	Disproportionality	Informal Technical Assistance & Coaching	San Diego County

Attachment 2: Logic Model- California Department of Social Services, Office of Child Abuse Prevention (2016)

Resources/ Inputs

Activities

Outputs

Outcomes

State-managed funding; and oversight/guidance of county-managed programs/funding:

- CAPTA
- CBCAP
- PSSF
- State Children's Trust Fund
- State Family Preservation

Adequate number of qualified, trained OCAP personnel:

- Administration & support
- Fiscal, data & IT services
- Project management
- Social Services Consultants: Outcome/Accountability

High quality partnerships

- State, county, federal, tribal & community leadership partners
- Grantees/Contractors
- Philanthropy, universities
- Business

Website; ETO system for data collection & analysis

Federal & State reporting structure to include:

CBCAP, CAPTA, APSR, NCANDS, AFCARS, PSSF, Annual County Survey/Report, 5 Year Child and Family Services Plan, CAPTA Plan, and federal Child and Family Services Review

Conduct and facilitate:

Oversight of programs & policies to ensure appropriate use of programs/funds to assist families and children, and ensure federal requirements are met.

Guidance so that program outcomes are used to improve services and guide decision making

Evaluation of programs and projects to ensure effectiveness

Increase capacity so that:

Child Welfare Services (CWS) Agencies & Family Strengthening Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) provide prevention and early intervention and treatment services for at-risk families, including those isolated and under-served

Communities access and use child abuse, neglect and early intervention/ prevention tools, resources and high quality interventions, including Evidence Based Practices (EBPs)

Parent partners actively participate to inform and guide county child welfare agencies as well as prevention, early intervention and treatment systems and services

Leveraged public/private partnerships improve collaboration across systems, increase shared resources and remove barriers that impact families' access to services

Oversight/Guidance

- OCAP-TA for county CSA and SIP process
- OCAP grant oversight, guidance & reports
- OCAP-led CW training/TA; advisories
- OCAP analysis and policy efforts
- OCAP representation on councils; workgroups

CWS & CBO capacity building trainings/TA:

- Workforce capacity & trainings, coaching, TA & resources
- Peer Review for CBOs and Networks

Community resources & tools:

- CA Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare resources & trainings
- Safely Surrendered Baby Hotline, and others
- Mandated reporter on-line training
- Strategies
- Innovate Partnership and Community in Unity grant opportunities

Parent engagement & partnerships:

- Parents Academies & state parent team
- Parents' participation in systems such as CWS & prevention and early intervention efforts
- Engaging fathers efforts: trainings, TA

Leveraging & community partnerships:

- County Child Welfare & Other Agencies
- Citizen Review Panels
- Essentials for Childhood
- Child Abuse Prevention Councils
- Tribes and tribal organizations
- Network capacity building projects/TA, etc.

CWS and CBOs demonstrate commitment, increased knowledge and efforts regarding child abuse prevention, early intervention, and treatment so that they are embedded and reflected in policies, procedures, system improvement plans, annual reports, and funding decisions.

Increased state and local efforts that engage parents, increase leverage of funding and partnerships, and create a greater collective impact.

CWS & CBOs demonstrate increases in knowledge, capacity and efforts in outreach to families and provide effective, high-quality services in ways that promote safety, permanence & the well-being of children.

Impact

IF the OCAP provides child abuse and neglect prevention supports to diverse audiences across the prevention continuum to include implementation strategies, dissemination, innovation, capacity building and leveraged partnerships; **THEN** families and public and private partners will incorporate robust CAN strategies **SO THAT** communities report increased numbers of families providing safe and secure environments that support child wellbeing.

ATTACHMENT 3: CBCAP Annual Report Numbers Guidelines

CBCAP Annual Report Participant Numbers Reporting Guidelines	
	DATA
Table	Children, Parents/Caregivers and Families Who Received Preventive Direct Services
I.	From the State During the Year - FFY2015 Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Program (CBCAP) Grant
SUMMARY DATA DEFINITION	
<p>Preventive direct services under CBCAP are beneficial activities aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect. Such activities may be directed at the general population or specific populations identified as being increased risk of abusing or neglecting their children. The primary focus of these activities are to better strengthen and support families by increasing protective factors and reducing the risk factors that can reduce the likelihood of abuse or neglect. The six primary protective factors to be increased by the preventive direct services include: nurturing and attachment, parental resilience, knowledge of parenting and of child and youth development, social connections, concrete supports for parents, and social and emotional competence of children. The primary risk factors that may be addressed include caregiver problems with mental health, substance abuse, and family and community violence, and other negative conditions in the child and family's life situation. Ultimately, the goals of these activities are to increase the strength and stability of families, to increase parents' confidence and competence in their parenting abilities, to afford children a stable and supportive environment and to increase the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families. Such activities do not include information and referral, one-time public education events, or public awareness campaigns.</p>	
INSTRUCTIONS / ERROR CONDITIONS	
<p>This is the number of children and number of families who received services aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect during the year. These services may be directed at specific populations identified as being at increased risk of becoming abusive or they may provide direct services to the general population. Direct services means that the services must be provided to an individual or family and the <u>planned</u> duration of the services should be more than a one-time event. Some examples of preventative direct services include: voluntary home visiting, parenting programs, parent mutual support, respite care, family resource centers, or other family support programs. If the participant only attends the direct service for one-time and drops out, they should still be counted in this category since the planned duration was for more than one-time.</p> <p>The data should reflect recipients of direct services funded by the CBCAP program. Direct services funded by CBCAP should reflect the Federal CBCAP funds plus the amount for the state's required 20% match as reflected in their application for this year's funding. (Note: Some states include more than a 20% match in their application). Since a number of states blend the CBCAP with other federal, state and local funding, these states will need to indicate the total funding from all other sources, including CBCAP, and indicate the percentage of CBCAP funding that is part of the total.</p> <p>The data should not include recipients of information and referral services, one-time public education events, and other public awareness campaigns. The recipients of these activities should be counted <u>separately</u> as part of Public Awareness Activities (see Table III).</p> <p>The items in this section request data on recipients of preventive direct services under the CBCAP program. Data on the number of children, parents and the number of families receiving these services is requested. The three possibilities are provided since some programs report by "family", "parent/caregiver" and others report by "child". In answering these questions, to the extent possible, you should NOT duplicate your counts.</p> <p>This is the number of children, parents/caregivers, and families who received services aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect during the year funded by a Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Program (CBCAP) Grant. The total number should also include the number of adults/children with disabilities who are receiving direct services.</p>	
STATE DATA	
Can the state provide data for this item? (Y=Yes, N=No) []	
Total Number of Children who received preventative direct services: 2,852	
Total Number of Parents/caregivers who received preventative direct services: 12,762	
Total Number of Families who received preventative direct services: 2,565	
STATE COMMENTARY / CONSTRUCTION LOGIC	
<p>The state should provide additional information about the numbers being reported above and the primary source of the data. If multiple funding sources are included in the recipient numbers, please indicate the total funding from all other sources, including CBCAP, and indicate the percentage of CBCAP funding that is part of that total. If no data is available, the state should provide an explanation why the data cannot be reported.</p>	

CBCAP Annual Report Participant Numbers Reporting Guidelines

DATA

CHARACTERISTICS

Table II.	Children, Parents/Caregivers with Disabilities Who Received Preventive Direct Services From the State During the Year - FFY2015 Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Program (CBCAP) Grant
------------------	---

SUMMARY DATA DEFINITION

Preventive direct services under CBCAP are beneficial activities aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect. Such activities may be directed at the general population or specific populations identified as being increased risk of abusing or neglecting their children. The primary focus of these activities are to better strengthen and support families by increasing protective factors and reducing the risk factors that can reduce the likelihood of abuse or neglect. The five primary protective factors to be increased by the preventive direct services include: nurturing and attachment, parental resilience, knowledge of parenting and of child and youth development, social connections, concrete supports for parents, and social and emotional competence of children. The primary risk factors that may be addressed include caregiver problems with mental health, substance abuse, and family and community violence, and other negative conditions in the child and family's life situation. Ultimately, the goals of these activities are to increase the strength and stability of families, to increase parents' confidence and competence in their parenting abilities, to afford children a stable and supportive environment and to increase the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families. Such activities do not include information and referral, one-time public education events, or public awareness campaigns.

INSTRUCTIONS / ERROR CONDITIONS

This is the number of children and adults/caregivers with disabilities who received services aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect during the year. These services may be directed at specific populations identified as being at increased risk of becoming abusive or they may provide direct services to the general population. Direct services means that the services must be provided to an individual or family and the planned duration of the services should be more than a one-time event. Some examples of preventative direct services include: voluntary home visiting, parenting programs, parent mutual support, respite care, family resource centers, or other family support programs. If the participant only attends the direct service for one-time and drops out, they should still be counted in this category since the planned duration was for more than one-time.

The data should reflect recipients of direct services funded by the CBCAP program. Direct services funded by CBCAP should reflect the Federal CBCAP funds plus the amount for the state's required 20 percent match as reflected in their application for this year's funding. (Note: Some states include more than a 20 percent match in their application). Since a number of States blend the CBCAP with other federal, state and local funding, these states will need to indicate the total funding from all other sources, including CBCAP, and indicate the percentage of CBCAP funding that is part of the total.

The data should not include recipients of information and referral services, one-time public education events, and other public awareness campaigns. The recipients of these activities should be counted separately as part of Public Awareness Activities (see Table III).

The items in this section request data on recipients (adults/children) of preventive direct services under the CBCAP program who also have a disability. The definition of a person with disability has the same meaning for a child or adult with disability under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Parts B and C.

Data on the number of children and/or parents with disabilities receiving these services is requested. **The numbers for Table II should be a subset of the total numbers from Table I.**

This is the number of children and/or parents/caregivers with disabilities who received direct services aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect during the year funded by a Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Program (CBCAP) Grant.

STATE DATA

Can the state provide data for this item? (Y=Yes, N=No) []

Total Number of Children with disabilities who received preventative direct services: **125**

Total Number of Parents/ caregivers with disabilities who received preventative direct services: **1,731**

STATE COMMENTARY / CONSTRUCTION LOGIC

The state should provide additional information about the numbers being reported above and the primary source of the data. If multiple funding sources are included in the recipient numbers, please indicate the total funding from all other sources, including CBCAP, and indicate the percentage of CBCAP funding that is part of that total. If no data is available, the state should provide an explanation why the data cannot be reported.

CBCAP Annual Report Participant Numbers Reporting Guidelines

DATA

CHARACTERISTICS

Table III.	Individuals Who Received Public Awareness or Public Information Activities From the State During the Year - FFY2015 Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Program (CBCAP) Grant
-------------------	---

SUMMARY DATA DEFINITION

Public awareness or public education activities under CBCAP are beneficial activities that focus on the healthy and positive development of parents and the promotion of child abuse and neglect prevention activities. These activities can include public education and outreach, information and referral regarding community and social services that are available for families, and public awareness campaigns. Such activities are usually directed at the general population but may also be targeted for specific populations or communities identified at increased risk of abuse or neglect. The primary focus of these activities are to better strengthen and support individuals, families, the community and society by providing information about available family support and prevention resources in the community, increasing the public understanding of the importance of the prevention of child abuse and neglect and increasing community ownership and involvement in prevention activities. Over the long term, it is anticipated that these activities contribute to increasing the safety, permanency and well-being of all children and families.

INSTRUCTIONS / ERROR CONDITIONS

This is the number of individuals received public awareness or public education activities aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect during the year. These services may be directed to the general population or at specific populations identified as being at increased risk of abuse or neglect. These activities may be a one-time event or a series of public education and information sessions. These activities may also include provide information and referral to the community through the telephone, in-person, or through a mail out or website. Some examples of public awareness, public education or information and referral activities include: Blue Ribbon or other Child Abuse Prevention Month campaigns, conducting a public information fair at a local festival, presenting information about child abuse prevention to various agencies or the general public, television or radio ads, newsletter mailing, parent support hotlines, information and referral websites, etc.

The data should reflect the individuals who received or were exposed to the public awareness or public education activities funded by the CBCAP program. Direct services funded by CBCAP should reflect the federal CBCAP funds plus the amount for the state's required 20 percent match as reflected in their application for this year's funding. (Note: Some states include more than a 20 percent match in their application). Since a number of States blend the CBCAP with other federal, state and local funding, these states will need to indicate the total funding from all other sources, including CBCAP, and indicate the percentage of CBCAP funding that is part of the total.

The items in this section request data on recipients of public awareness or public education activities conducted under the CBCAP program. Data on the number of individuals receiving or exposed to these activities is requested. Since it is difficult to provide an exact number of individuals who may have received the public awareness or public education activities, States are advised to provide the most accurate estimate based on the number of participants that reasonably received these activities. For example, the total can include the number of participants in public education session or workshop, the number of newsletters mailed out, the number of individuals who called a parent support line, the number of people exposed to the television or radio ads, etc.

This is the number of individuals who received public awareness and/or public education activities aimed at preventing child abuse and neglect during the year funded by a Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Program (CBCAP) Grant.

STATE DATA

Can the state provide data for this item? (Y=Yes, N=No) []

Total number of individuals who received or were exposed to public awareness or public education activities: **747,089**

STATE COMMENTARY / CONSTRUCTION LOGIC

The state should provide additional information about the numbers being reported above and the primary source of the data. If multiple funding sources are included in the recipient numbers, please indicate the total funding from all other sources, including CBCAP, and indicate the percentage of CBCAP funding that is part of that total. If no data is available, the state should provide an explanation why the data cannot be reported.